

ON THE VANISHING VISCOSITY IN THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR EQUATIONS OF A NONHOMOGENEOUS INCOMPRESSIBLE FLUID II

ノンホモジニアウスな非圧縮性流体の方程式に対する
コーシー問題における粘性消滅について II

伊 藤 成 治*

Shigeharu ITOH*

ABSTRACT We investigate the Cauchy problem for Euler and Navier-Stokes equations of a nonhomogeneous incompressible fluid in \mathbb{R}^3 . The unique solvability on a small time interval independent of viscosity is proved, and moreover, it is shown that the solution of Navier-Stokes equations converges in some Hilbert space to the one of Euler equations as viscosity tends to zero.

Key words : Incompressible fluid, Navier-Stokes equations, Euler equations, Vanishing viscosity

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the system of equations

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \rho_t + v \cdot \nabla \rho = 0, \\ \rho [v_t + (v \cdot \nabla) v] + \nabla p = \mu \Delta v + \rho f, \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0, \end{cases}$$

in $Q_T = \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]$, $T > 0$, subject to the initial conditions

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \rho|_{t=0} = \rho_0(x), \\ v|_{t=0} = v_0(x). \end{cases}$$

Here $f(x, t)$ is a given vector field of external forces, while the density $\rho(x, t)$, the velocity vector $v(x, t)$ and the pressure $p(x, t)$ are the unknowns. The viscosity coefficient μ is

* 弘前大学教育学部数学科教室

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Hirosaki University

assumed to be a nonnegative constant.

In these equations, $p(x, t)$ is automatically determined (up to a function of t) by $\rho(x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$, namely, by solving the equation

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{div}(\rho^{-1} \nabla p) = \operatorname{div}(f - (v \cdot \nabla)v + \mu \rho^{-1} \Delta v).$$

Thus we mention (ρ, v) when we talk about the solution of problem (1.1), (1.2).

Compared with the previous paper [2], in which the similar results were proved, we discuss the problem under the weaker assumptions to given data.

The purpose of this paper is to prove

Theorem. *Let $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, and assume that*

$$(1.4) \quad \rho_0(x) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \nabla \rho_0(x) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad 0 < m \leq \rho_0(x) \leq M < \infty,$$

$$(1.5) \quad v_0(x) \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \operatorname{div} v_0 = 0,$$

$$(1.6) \quad f(x, t) \in L^2(0, T; H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Then there exists $T_0 \in (0, T]$ independent of μ such that problem (1.1), (1.2) has a unique solution $(\rho, v)(x, t)$ which satisfies

$$(1.7) \quad \rho(x, t) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T_0]), \quad \nabla \rho(x, t) \in C^0([0, T_0]; H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad 0 < m \leq \rho(x, t) \leq M < \infty,$$

$$(1.8) \quad v(x, t) \in C^0([0, T_0]; H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Furthermore, let (ρ^0, v^0) be the solution of problem (1.1), (1.2) with $\mu = 0$ and (ρ^μ, v^μ) the one with $\mu > 0$, then we have

$$(1.9) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} [\|(\rho^0 - \rho^\mu)(t)\|_2 + \|(v^0 - v^\mu)(t)\|_2] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_k = \|\cdot\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}^3)}$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we establish several *a priori* estimates for solutions of problem (1.1),

(1.2). Let $(\rho, v)(x, t)$ be a sufficiently regular solution. Hereafter C stands for the generic constant independent of μ .

Lemma 2.1. *Let*

$$(2.1) \quad \Psi(t) = \int_0^t [1 + \|\nabla \rho(s)\|_2^2 + \|v(s)\|_3^2]^2 ds,$$

then the estimates

$$(2.2) \quad m \leq \rho(x, t) \leq M$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \|\nabla \rho(t)\|_2^2 \leq \|\nabla \rho_0\|_2^2 + C\Psi(t)$$

hold.

Proof. It is well-known that, according to the classical method of characteristics, the solution of problem (1.1)₁, (1.2)₁ is given by

$$(2.4) \quad \rho(x, t) = \rho_0(y(\tau, x, t) |_{\tau=0}),$$

where $y(\tau, x, t)$ is the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dy}{d\tau} = v(y, \tau), \\ y|_{\tau=t} = x. \end{cases}$$

From this, the estimate (2.2) results.

Next let us establish (2.3). Apply the operator D_x^α on each side of (1.1)₁. Multiplying the result by $D_x^\alpha \rho$, integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 and summing over $|\alpha| = 1, 2, 3$, we have the equality

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \rho(t)\|_2^2 &= - \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot \nabla D_x^\alpha \rho) D_x^\alpha \rho dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^3 \sum_{0 < \beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (D_x^\beta v \cdot \nabla D_x^{\alpha-\beta} \rho) D_x^\alpha \rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

The first term of the right hand side is zero, by integration by parts, since $\operatorname{div} v = 0$. The second term can be estimated as follows:

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=1}^3 \sum_{0 < \beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (D_x^\beta v \cdot \nabla D_x^{\alpha-\beta} \rho) D_x^\alpha \rho dx \right| \leq C \|\nabla v(t)\|_2 \|\nabla \rho(t)\|_2^2.$$

Hence we get

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \rho(t)\|_2^2 \leq C \|\nabla v(t)\|_2 \|\nabla \rho(t)\|_2^2,$$

and thus (2.3) is obtained. □

Lemma 2.2. *If we put*

$$(2.8) \quad A = 1 + \|\nabla \rho_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_3^2 + \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_3^2 dt,$$

then we have the inequality

$$(2.9) \quad \|v(t)\|_3^2 + \int_0^t \|v_t(s)\|_2^2 ds + \mu \int_0^t \|\nabla v(s)\|_3^2 ds \leq C[A + \Psi(t)]^3.$$

Proof. By applying the operator D_x^α on both sides of (1.1)₂, we obtain the equation

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \rho [D_x^\alpha v_t + (v \cdot \nabla) D_x^\alpha v] + \nabla D_x^\alpha p \\ &= \mu \Delta D_x^\alpha v - \sum_{0 < \beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} D_x^\beta \rho D_x^{\alpha-\beta} v_t \\ & \quad - \sum_{0 < \beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \sum_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} D_x^\gamma \rho (D_x^{\beta-\gamma} v \cdot \nabla) D_x^{\alpha-\beta} v \\ & \quad + \sum_{0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} D_x^\beta \rho D_x^{\alpha-\beta} f. \end{aligned}$$

Step 1. Multiplying (2.10) by $D_x^\alpha v$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , then by making use of

(1.1)_{1,3}, (2.2), we have the inequalities

$$(2.11;0) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho} v\|_0^2 + \mu \|\nabla v\|_0^2 \leq C \|f\|_0 \|v\|_0$$

and for $k=1,2,3$,

(2.11;k)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{\rho} \nabla^k v\|_0^2 + \mu \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_0^2 \\ & \leq C [\|\nabla \rho\|_2 \|v_t\|_{k-1} \|v\|_3 + (1 + \|\nabla \rho\|_2) (\|f\|_3 + \|v\|_3^2) \|v\|_3] \\ & \leq C [\|\nabla \rho\|_2^2 \|v\|_3^2 + (1 + \|\nabla \rho\|_2) (\|f\|_3 + \|v\|_3^2) \|v\|_3] + \frac{m}{2} \|v_t\|_{k-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. If we multiply (2.10) by $D_x^\alpha v_t$ and integrate over \mathbb{R}^3 , then we obtain

$$(2.12;0) \quad m \|v_t\|_0^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v\|_0^2 \leq C [\|v\|_2^4 + \|f\|_0^2] + \frac{m}{2} \|v_t\|_0^2$$

and for $k=1, 2$,

$$(2.12;k) \quad \begin{aligned} & m \|\nabla^k v_t\|_0^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_0^2 \\ & \leq C [\|\nabla \rho\|_2^2 \|v_t\|_{k-1}^2 + (1 + \|\nabla \rho\|_2)^2 (\|f\|_2^2 + \|v\|_3^2)] + \frac{m}{2} \|\nabla^k v_t\|_0^2, \end{aligned}$$

which mean

$$(2.13;0) \quad m \|v_t\|_0^2 + \mu \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v\|_0^2 \leq C [\|v\|_2^4 + \|f\|_0^2]$$

and for $k=1, 2$,

$$(2.13;k) \quad m \|\nabla^k v_t\|_0^2 + \mu \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_0^2$$

$$\leq C[\|\nabla\rho\|_2^2\|v_t\|_{k-1}^2 + (1+\|\nabla\rho\|_2)^2(\|f\|_2^2 + \|v\|_3^2)].$$

Step 3. Adding (2.11;1) to (2.13;0), we get

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}\nabla v\|_0^2 + 2\mu\|\nabla^2 v\|_0^2 + m\|v_t\|_0^2 + 2\mu\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla v\|_0^2 \\ \leq C[(1+\|\nabla\rho\|_2^2 + \|v\|_3^2)^2 + \|f\|_3^2],$$

and noting that $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$,

$$(2.15) \quad \|\nabla v\|_0^2 + \int_0^t \|v_t\|_0^2 ds + \mu \int_0^t \|\nabla^2 v\|_0^2 ds \leq C[A + \Psi(t)].$$

Step 4. If we add (2.11;2) to (2.13;1), then we obtain

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}\nabla^2 v\|_0^2 + 2\mu\|\nabla^3 v\|_0^2 + m\|\nabla v_t\|_0^2 + 2\mu\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla^2 v\|_0^2 \\ \leq C[(1+\|\nabla\rho\|_2^2 + \|v\|_3^2)^2 + (1+\|\nabla\rho\|_2^2)(\|v_t\|_0^2 + \|f\|_3^2)].$$

Hence, due to (2.3) and (2.15),

$$(2.17) \quad \|\nabla^2 v\|_0^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla v_t\|_0^2 ds + \mu \int_0^t \|\nabla^3 v\|_0^2 ds \leq C[A + \Psi(t)]^2.$$

Step 5. Add (2.11;3) to (2.13;2). Similarly to the above, we have

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}\nabla^3 v\|_0^2 + 2\mu\|\nabla^4 v\|_0^2 + m\|\nabla^2 v_t\|_0^2 + 2\mu\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla^3 v\|_0^2 \\ \leq C[(1+\|\nabla\rho\|_2^2 + \|v\|_3^2)^2 + (1+\|\nabla\rho\|_2^2)(\|v_t\|_1^2 + \|f\|_3^2)],$$

and thus,

$$(2.19) \quad \|\nabla^3 v\|_0^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla^2 v_t\|_0^2 ds + \mu \int_0^t \|\nabla^4 v\|_0^2 ds \leq C[A + \Psi(t)]^3.$$

Consequently, from (2.11;0), (2.15), (2.17), (2.19), we find that (2.9) is deduced. \square

Lemma 2.3. *There exists $T_0 \in (0, T]$ independent of μ such that*

$$(2.20) \quad \Psi(t) \leq A \text{ for } t \leq T_0.$$

Proof. If we set $Y(t) = \Psi(t) + A$, then from Lemma 2.1, 2.2, we have a differential inequality

$$(2.21) \quad \frac{d}{dt} Y(t) \leq C Y^3(t).$$

Therefore we find that

$$(2.22) \quad Y(t) \leq \frac{A}{\sqrt{1-2CA^2t}} \text{ provided } t < (2CA^2)^{-1},$$

and thus

$$(2.23) \quad \Psi(t) \leq A \text{ for } t \leq T_0 = \frac{3}{8CA^2}. \quad \square$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM

First, we note that from Lemma 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, the estimate

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} [\|\nabla \rho(t)\|_2^2 + \|v(t)\|_3^2] + \int_0^{T_0} \|v_t(t)\|_2^2 dt + \mu \int_0^{T_0} \|\nabla v(t)\|_3^2 dt \leq C$$

is valid.

The unique solvability of problem (1.1), (1.2) is proved with semi Galerkin method based on (3.1). As this process is parallel with that of [1, Chapter 3], we omit it here and restrict ourselves to establish (1.9).

Subtracting (1.1) with $\mu > 0$ from (1.1) with $\mu = 0$, we get the following linear system of equations for $\sigma = \rho^0 - \rho^\mu$, $w = v^0 - v^\mu$ and $q = p^0 - p^\mu$:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma_t + v^\mu \cdot \nabla \sigma = -w \cdot \nabla \rho^0, \\ \rho^\mu [w_t + (v^\mu \cdot \nabla) w] + \nabla q = -\rho^\mu (w \cdot \nabla) v^0 + (\nabla p^0 / \rho^0) \sigma - \mu \Delta v^\mu, \\ \operatorname{div} w = 0, \\ \sigma|_{t=0} = 0, \\ w|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases}$$

In the same way for getting *a priori* estimates, we have from (3.1),

$$(3.3) \quad \|\sigma(t)\|_2^2 \leq C \int_0^t \|w(s)\|_2^2 ds$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \|w(t)\|_2^2 \leq C \int_0^t (\|\sigma(s)\|_2^2 + \|w(s)\|_2^2) ds + \mu CT_0.$$

Hence, by Gronwall's inequality, we find that

$$(3.5) \quad \|\sigma(t)\|_2^2 + \|w(t)\|_2^2 \leq \mu CT_0 \exp(CT_0).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. N. Antontsev, A. V. Kazhikhov and V. N. Monakhov, *Boundary value problems in mechanics of nonhomogeneous fluids*, North-Holland, Amsterdam•New York•Oxford•Tokyo, 1990.
- [2] S. Itoh, *On the vanishing viscosity in the Cauchy problem for the equations of a non-homogeneous incompressible fluid*, Glasgow Math. J. **36** (1994), 123-129.

(1996.7.29受理)