CROSS-TALK BETWEEN BONE MARROW AND TISSUE INJURY: NOVEL REGENERATIVE THERAPY FOR SEVERELY DAMAGED TISSUES BY MOBILIZING BONE MARROW MESENCHYMAL STEM CELLS *IN VIVO*

Katsuto Tamai¹⁾ and Yasufumi Kaneda²⁾

Abstract

In the epidermis, resident stem/progenitor cells are involved in the complete renewal of the stratified squamous epithelium every 2-4 weeks and thus contribute to tissue homeostasis. In patients with the inherited chronic blistering skin disorder, recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa (RDEB), however, the epidermal stem cell pool is continually depleted as a result of detachment of full-thickness epidermis due to lack of the basement membrane protein, type VII collagen (Col 7). Nevertheless, the epidermis in RDEB continues to be renewed, suggesting there may be a mechanism to supplement epithelial stem/progenitor cells from extracutaneous sources. Recently, we reported that the detached epithelium from a Col 7-null mouse model of RDEB will release abundant high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), which mobilizes a sub-population of non-hematopoietic cells from bone marrow into the circulation to repair skin and restore Col 7 expression. These bone marrow-derived epithelial stem/progenitor cells are derived from a lineage-negative, platelet-derived growth factor alpha-positive mesenchymal stem cell pool in bone marrow, which represents less than 0.3% of the total bone marrow cell population. In addition, systemic administration of HMGB1 to wounded wild-type mice leads to faster wound healing with recruitment of these bone marrow cells to the wounded skin. This study identifies a suitable cell population for cell therapy approaches in individuals with RDEB, but also has broader implications for clinical medicine in identifying a means of mobilizing and recruiting a key population of bone marrow cells germane to tissue repair.

Hirosaki Med. J. 64, Supplement : S1-S5, 2013

Key words: mesenchymal stem cell; high mobility group box 1; epidermolysis bullosa

Introduction

Bone marrow (BM) has been shown to contain cells which can generate epithelial cells, i.e. keratinocytes, *in vitro* and *in vivo*¹⁻¹³⁾. Previous studies involving transplantation of sex-mismatched or genetically tagged BM cells have shown that keratin-positive bone marrowderived cells can be found in skin epidermis¹⁴⁾. In humans who have undergone BM transplantation (BMT), donor cells that have differentiated into keratinocytes can be detected in the epidermis for at least 3 years⁵⁾. With regard to skin injury, both embryonic and post-natal transplantation of BM cells into mice lacking cutaneous basement membrane components, such as type VII collagen (Col 7) and type XVII collagen, have demonstrated the capacity of BM to promote skin wound healing and to correct the intrinsic basement membrane defect¹⁵⁻¹⁷⁾. Most recently, a clinical trial of allogeneic whole BMT in humans lacking Col 7, who have the inherited blistering skin disorder, recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa (RDEB), has demonstrated that BM cells can repair fragile skin and restore Col 7 expression in skin basement membrane¹⁸⁾. These animal and human studies suggest that BM stem cells have significant potential for

Katsuto Tamai TEL: 06 6879 3902 FAX: 06 6879 3909 tamai@gts.med.osaka-u.ac.jp

¹⁾Department of Stem Cell Therapy Science, and

²⁾Gene Therapy Science, Graduate School of Meicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, 2-2 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan. Corresponding author

K. Tamai, et al.



Crosstalk mechanism between bone marrow and injured epithelia via HMGB1. 1. Injured epithelia release HMGB1 into peripheral blood. 2. Increased HMGB1 in peripheral blood mobilizes bone marrow PDGFR α^+ cells into the circulation. 3. Circulating PDGFR α^+ cells migrate into the injured skin possibly by HMGB1 attraction and regenerate injured skin.

therapeutic application on inherited epithelial disorders. In this review, our recent findings on cross-talk between bone marrow and injured skin to promote regeneration of injured epithelia by recruiting bone marrow mesenchymal stem/ progenitor cells will be summarized¹⁹⁾, and then future perspective for application of the disclosed mechanism on treatment of tissue injury will be discussed.

Epithelial regeneration by bone marrow cells

Contribution of BM-derived cells to epithelial regeneration was compared in murine skin wounds and skin graft¹⁹⁾. Precisely, the mice selected for wounding had received lethal dose irradiation followed by GFP-BMT, allowing to evaluate the contribution of GFP-BM cells to skin regeneration following injury. At 4 weeks after the injury, GFP-positive keratinocytes were not obvious in the regenerating epithelia of the wound. The same GFP-BMT mouse model was then used to examine a different form of skin repair, skin grafting, and significant numbers of GFP-positive cells expressing skinspecific keratin 5 were shown to form epidermal proliferative unit (EPU)-like clusters in the epidermis of the skin graft at 4 weeks after the engraftment. These data showed that a subpopulation of BM cells contributes to epithelial regeneration and maintenance in skin graft, but not in skin wound¹⁹⁾.

PDGFRα-positive bone marrow cells has the potential for epithelial differentiation

PDGFR α -positive non-hematopoietic BM cell population contains ectoderm-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs)^{20, 21}. The PDGFR α^+ BM cells were then shown to exclusively generate BM-derived epithelial cells expressing keratin 5 in culture¹⁹. PDGFR $\alpha^$ cell population also contained adherent and proliferative cells in culture, but none of these cells showed differentiation into keratin 5-positive keratinocytes¹⁹. These findings suggested that the BM-derived keratinocytes are originated from a specific sub-population of PDGFR α^+ BM cells¹⁹⁾. This hypothesis was confirmed by observation that PDGFR α^+ cells in BM contributed regeneration of the injured epithelia of the skin engrafted on the back of GFP⁺/ PDGFR α^+ BMT mouse¹⁹⁾

The PDGFR α^+ cells in bone marrow seems to contain different or overlapped mesenchymal subpopulations, including recently identified PDGFR α^+ Sca-1⁺ CD45⁻ TER119⁻ (referred to as $P\alpha S$ cells) ²¹⁾. Nevertheless, although these $P\alpha S$ cells display multi-potency, they still seem to be a heterogeneous population. The PDGFR α^+ cells may also share some characteristics with a less frequent sub-population of cells, "termed muse cells" (multi-lineage differentiating stress-enduring), that can generate cells with characteristics of endoderm, mesoderm and ectoderm²²⁾. Muse cells have been identified in BM stromal cells, BM aspirates and amongst skin fibroblasts²²⁾, but their relationship with the PDGFR α^+ sub-population of cells is not currently known. In addition, although some of the PDGFR α^+ population includes cells of neuroepithelial lineage, it remains to be determined if these or other specific sub-populations of PDGFR α^+ cells are directly implicated in epidermal repair and maintenance. Delineation of specific sub-populations of MSCs with the capacity to differentiate into keratinocytes is a key objective.

HMGB1 mobilizes PDGFRα⁺ cells from bone marrow to regenerate injured epithelia

Subsequent studies clarified the mechanism through which the transplanted skin graft is able to recruit PDGFR α^+ cells from the BM¹⁹. The skin graft was shown to release high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) into circulation for this mechanism. HMGB1, also known as amphoterin, is a nuclear protein that can regulate chromatin structure and gene expression²³. It is also released from necrotic cells and some apoptotic cells and acts as an inflammatory regulator. Other studies, however, have indicated that HMGB1 may also act as a local chemo-attractant for various hematopoietic and non-hematopoietic cells that can regulate tissue remodeling²⁴⁾. Our study clearly demonstrated that the skin graft-derived HMGB1 in circulation stimulated PDGFRa⁺ BM cells to mobilize them into the circulating blood, and the circulating PDGFRa⁺ cells were then recruited by HMGB1 in the skin graft to regenerate the injured epithelia of the graft¹⁹⁾.

In the study, a marked increase in HMGB1 serum levels was observed 3 days after grafting, the timing of generating focal necrosis in the epithelia of the skin graft¹⁹⁾. Of note, however, no increase in serum HMGB1 was noted in mice with full thickness wounds. One fundamental difference between the wound and the skin graft is that only the latter provides epithelium in the wound site to release HMGB1 into the circulation. Furthermore, epithelial differentiation of PDGFR α^+ BM cells was shown to occur only in epithelial tissue in the grafted skin, suggesting a requirement for cell-cell and cell-matrix contact to keratinocytes and basement membrane, respectively. This structural microenvironment is present in the skin grafted tissue but not in the wound. These unique features therefore seem to provide a preferential milieu to raise PDGFRa⁺ BM cell-derived keratinocytes in the skin graft.

Future perspective of HMGB1-mediated regenerative medicine for intractable tissue injury

As described above, PDGFR α^+ cells from BM significantly contribute to the regeneration of the injured epithelia *in vivo*, and one biological repair mechanism involves the key cells being mobilized in response to elevated HMGB1 levels in serum, the source of which is the skin graft. PDGFR α is not expressed by hematopoietic stem cells but by mesenchymal cells including MSCs in bone marrow that can give rise to mesenchymal lineage cells as well as neuro-

epithelial and neural crest lineage cells^{20, 21)}.

In situations in which there is significant necrotic damage to the epidermis, at least some of the PDGFR α^+ BM cells have the plasticity to become BM-derived epithelial cells to generate and sustain new keratinocytes in the injury, suggesting that the allogenic PDGFR α^+ cells can correct the intrinsic molecular defect in inherited epithelial disorders if transplanted to the skin. Moreover, HMGB1, which is rapidly released from the injured epithelia, mobilizes $PDGFR\alpha^+$ BM cells into the circulation and accelerate regeneration of the injured skin by recruiting these cells to raise BM-derived epithelial cells and BM-derived mesenchymal cells in the epidermis and dermis, respectively. These findings provide future perspectives that systemic administration of HMGB1 may be a possible therapeutic option of treatment of the epithelial diseases including inherited keratinizing disorders as well as inherited blistering diseases, such as epidermolysis bullosa.

References

- 1) Fathke C, et al. Contribution of bone marrowderived cells to skin: collagen deposition and wound repair. *Stem Cells* 2004;22:812-22.
- 2) Wu Y, Zhao RC, Tredget EE. Concise review: bone marrow-derived stem/progenitor cells in cutaneous repair and regeneration. *Stem Cells* 2010;28:905-15.
- 3)Krause DS, et al.Multi-organ, multi-lineage engraftment by a single bone marrow-derived stem cell. *Cell* 2001;105:369-77.
- 4)Hematti P, et al. Absence of donor-derived keratinocyte stem cells in skin tissues cultured from patients after mobilized peripheral blood hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Exp Hematol* 2002;30:943-9.
- 5) Körbling M, et al. Hepatocytes and epithelial cells of donor origin in recipients of peripheral-blood stem cells. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:738-46.

- 6) Badiavas EV, Falanga V. Treatment of chronic wounds with bone marrow-derived cells. *Arch Dermatol* 2003;139:510-6.
- 7) Badiavas EV, Abedi M, Butmarc J, Falanga V, Quesenberry P. Participation of bone marrow derived cells in cutaneous wound healing. *J Cell Physiol* 2003;196:245-50.
- Kataoka K, et al. Participation of adult mouse bone marrow cells in reconstitution of skin. *Am J Pathol* 2003;163:1227-31.
- 9)Borue X, et al. Bone marrow-derived cells contribute to epithelial engraftment during wound healing. *Am J Pathol* 2004;165:1767-72.
- 10) Fan Q, et al. Bone marrow-derived keratinocytes are not detected in normal skin and only rarely detected in two different murine models. *Exp Hematol* 2006;34:672-9.
- Inokuma D, et al. CTACK/CCL27 accelerates skin regeneration via accumulation of bone marrowderived keratinocytes. *Stem Cells* 2006;24:2810-6.
- 12) Rovo A, Gratwohl A. Plasticity after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *J Biol Chem* 2008;389:825-36.
- 13) Sasaki M, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells are recruited into wounded skin and contribute to wound repair by transdifferentiation into multiple skin cell type. *J Immunol* 2008;180:2581-7.
- 14) Fuchs E, Horsley V. More than one way to skin. Genes Dev 2008;22:976-985.
- 15) Chino T, et al. Bone marrow cell transfer into fetal circulation can ameliorate genetic skin diseases by providing fibroblasts to the skin and inducing immune tolerance. *Am J Pathol* 2008;173: 803-14.
- 16) Tolar J, et al. Amelioration of epidermolysis bullosa by transfer of wild-type bone marrow cells. *Blood* 2009;113:1167-74.
- 17) Fujita Y, et al. Bone marrow transplantation restores epidermal basement membrane protein expression and rescues epidermolysis model mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2010;107:14345-50.
- 18) Wagner JE, et al. Bone marrow transplantation for recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. N

Engl J Med 2010;363:629-39.

- 19)Tamai K et al. PDGFRα-positive cells in bone marrow are mobilized by HMGB1 to regenerate injured epithelia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2011; April 4 [Epub ahead of print]
- 20) Takashima Y, et al. Neuroepithelial cells supply an initial transient wave of MSC differentiation. *Cell* 2007;129: 1377-88.
- 21) Morikawa S, et al. Prospective identification, isolation, and systemic transplantation of multipotent stem cells in murine bone marrow. *J Exp Med*

2009;206:2483-96.

- 22) Kuroda Y, et al. Unique multipotent cells in adult human mesenchymal cell populations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2010;107:8639-43.
- 23) Harris HE, Raucci A. Alarmin(g) news about danger: workshop on innate danger signals and HMGB1. EMBO Rep 2006;7:774-778.
- 24) Palumbo R, Bianchi ME. High mobility group box 1 protein, a cue for stem cell recruitment. Biochem Pharmacol 2004;68: 1165-70.